

FIGHT IT WITH ...

# Common Sense.

LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM

® Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

Issue No. 508, January 1, 1968

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, N.J.

"The truth,
the whole truth,
and nothing
but the truth
... without fear
or favor"

Conde M. Ginley

Subscription \$3.00 per year

# YOUR ENEMY IS IN WASHINGTON

# WAKE UP AMERICA!

Government of the People, by the People and for the People Has Vanished from the U.S.A.

# AN OPEN LETTER TO THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

Gentlemen:

Many times in the past we have said or insinuated that "Congress does not know what it is doing." Now, as of September 25, 1967, many badly-shaken Congressmen and Senators agree with us.

A campaign was organized, nation-wide to oblige the members of the United States Senate to face (up to) the fact that they had neither control of nor responsibility for the 'Armed Forces of the United States; that both power and responsibility had been transferred to the United Nations with the "Commander-in-Chief" himself under mandatory UN discipline.

QUESTION: The nation - wide campaign hinged upon a simple question, viz: "If the United Nations calls up our armed forces for service in South Africa and/or Rhodesia, WHAT CAN CONGRESS DO TO PREVENT IT?"

Tens of thousands of letters poured into Washington repeating "the question" and compelling individual members of Congress to face the fact that Congress could DO NOTH-ING to prevent such a call-up. Those who had doubts were promptly set straight by the State Department which informed them that the Law of the Land now is:

THE LAW: The President, as Commanderin-Chief of the Armed Forces and as architect of our foreign affairs, has sole authority to deploy the armed forces of the United States.

The State Department had, some months earlier, informed the thoroughly bewildered Congress that the law of the land also is: The President and not the Congress has authority over foreign trade. (This came up when the President ordered the embargo against Rhodesia.) Art. I, Sec. 8, Para. 2 (Commerce) and 11 (to dictate war) had been nullified at some time, and by somebody, and Congress had known nothing about it.

Over the years the Congress has been induced through a smoothly operating program of "gradualism" to call upon the APPOINTED State Department, rather than the lawyers in their own ELECTED Congress, for legal advice and interpretations. The masterminds of state pour out a torrent of gobble-de-gook which even the Rhodes Scholars in Congress now claim they do not understand.

The State Department has not yet explained to the now thoroughly frightened Congress, the source of the new law just coming to light. The Congress remains mystified and bewildered simply because it is a firm policy of the Executive and Judicial branches of government to conceal and protect United Nations authority over the United



How much longer will American people stand idly by while their constitutional rights and freedoms are bartered away through secret treaties and United Nations commitments made without their knowledge or consent?

States government. The present terror in Congress will be greatly magnified when they realize the nature of the commitment they made in enacting the Disarmament Act (S.J. 87-297). If they couldn't understand the simple language of the Tonkin Gulf Resolution, which authorized the half-million man build-up in Vietnam, what, oh what, did they understand of 87-297 whose fork-tongued language authorizes the TOTAL AND COMPLETE DISARMING OF THE UNITED STATES WITH ALL OUR ARMED FORCES TO BE TURNED OVER TO THE UNITED NATIONS?

Gentlemen: the first hand report on how Congress was allegedly tricked into authorizing the President (who says he didn't need their authorization to conduct any kind of war he wants to wage in South East Asia, including full-scale war with China) in our opinion calls for WHOLESALE IMPEACHMENT at the very highest echelons of the Federal Government.

The beginning of our secret involvement in

THE STAFF OF COMMON SENSE WISHES ITS READERS A VERY Happy New Year

BEEFFEEFFEEFFEEFFEEFF

Vietnam came when the State Department persuaded Diem Ngu, who had been living in exile in New York State for several years, to return to Vietnam to take over the government. Then Diem, whose "government" was not a member of SEATO, invited the United States to come to his aid in fighting "Communists." We began to pour billions of dollars in "Aid" into South Vietnam and to send armed forces personnel into that country to "ADVISE THE VIETNAMESE." Later we were to "train" their armed forces; and still later we were to do their fighting for them.

As the war escalated and the casualties mounted the people began to rebel and an "incident" was needed to promote Congressional involvement. Quite providentially, according to the press and the President, on August 2 and again on August 4, 1964 some little North Vietnam PT boats took a few potshots at our giant destroyer, U.S.S. Maddox in Tonkin Gulf.

The President hastily called before him certain (unnamed) members of Congress and urged the adoption of a "Sense Resolution" directing him to, in effect, do as he pleased and to go as far as he liked in South East Asia. Nothing was said about a Declaration of War and no time was given for an investigation to determine whether there had actually been any attack by the VC on our destroyer and, if so, the extent of that damage. Now, 3 years later, the question is raised.

If there was a man then in Congress who did not know that the Roosevelt administration, needing an incident in 1941 to persuade Congress to declare war, HAD DELIBER-ATELY ARRANGED THE SINKING OF OUR FLEET at Pearl Harbor, such a man was not sufficiently informed to be in Congress. And if, knowing the facts of Pearl Harbor, these Congressmen did not harbor some skepticism in the Tonkin Gulf "incident" they simply did not have the qualifications necessary to enact a "SENSE" Resolution, as the record will show, and has shown in the attempted sinking of the USS Liberty, where Congressional reaction bordered closely upon, "We're sorry too, Little One. Better luck next

On August 7th the "Sense Resolution" was enacted; the President has increased the American forces in South Vietnam from some 20,000 to half a million — the dead, from a few hundred to more than 15,000. In all Congress only 2 men, Senators Gruening (Alaska) and Morse (Oregon) voted against the Resolution while more than 500 voted for it. It was Senator Gruening who, on August 21, 1967, opened the can of worms in Congress and brought to light the fantastic story revealed (and documented) herewith: Senator William J. Fulbright of Arkansas was as

(Continued on Page 2),

## AN OPEN LETTER

(Continued from Page 1)

signed the task of pushing the "Sense Resolution" through Congress. While he says now he did not know what he was doing. The records show otherwise. When confronted with the record he confessed that he had known what he was doing at the time but had relied upon the pledged word of the President that the unconstitutional and unlimited power conferred upon the President by this Resolution would not be used to escalate the war in Vietnam. Mr. Fulbright is charging now that the President obtained this fateful resolution by fraud and deceit. The slogan-drugged Congress did not remember that President Wilson had "kept us out of war" while he was trying to bait the Congress into declaring war and President Roosevelt had pledged "again and again and again" our sons would not be sent into a foreign war. LBJ pledged both before and after the Tonkin Gulf Resolution that "American boys will not be sent to do the jobs that Asian boys should do," and because Senator Fulbright believed him, the whole Congress (except 2) voted the President unlimited authority for action in South East Asia.

Gentlemen: Read the following Resolve. See if you can understand it. Decide whether YOU would have voted for it:

"The United States is, therefore, prepared as the President determines, to take all necessary action, including the use of armed forces, to assist any member or protocol State in the South East Asian Collective Defense Treaty requesting assistance in defense of its freedom."

Senator Fulbright now says: "We did resolve, we did act, and I have said many times I think wrongly, precipitously, without due consideration to giving authority far beyond that particular attack...

"I regard this as one of the most tragic mistakes in American history. I did not intend to authorize it. Now, I think it is clear that Congress is, in large part, at fault in not being precise."

This "one of the most tragic mistakes in American history" has cost the lives of 15,-000 young men with many times that number wounded. The question now is: Will Congress allow that "mistake" to stand or will Congress voluntarily move to correct it? If Congress fails and refuses to act - must our young men continue to die because there is no real representation for them at any level of government? The debate sparked by Senator Gruening came about because of two statements made by the administration in the previous week. The Congress was just beginning to awake from a long, propagandadrugged sleep, and the import of these statements "got through" to them.

LBJ had stated in a speech to the American Bar Association (a sub-committee of which had ruled unanimously that the actions of the administration in South Vietnam were legal in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations and the SEATO Treaty) that: "We stated then (at the time he ASKED for the Tonkin Gulf Resolution) and we repeat now, WE DID NOT THINK THE RESOLUTION WAS NECESSARY to do what we did and what we're doing."

Undersecretary of State, Katzenbach, has said that Congressional Declarations of War are outmoded. Many members of Congress, apparently a majority, find this statement inconceivable; but, they are wrong. Mr. Katzenbach dropped a hint to the wise which reached no "wise" faculties. He said:

"The use of the phrase, 'to declare war,' as it was used in the Constitution of the United States had a particular meaning in terms of the practices which existed at that time and which existed really until the United Nations Organization." (Congressional Record 8-21-67, page 11883).

Of course LBJ could have taken the action he took and continues to take in Vietnam, provided the UN directed him to do it. Without consulting Congress, a dozen Articles in the UN CHARTER proclaimed the fact; and, as Katzenbach stated, the administration needs no Declaration of War for any military action taken by the President — under Articles 39, 25 and 24, the Security Council and



Arkady A. Sobolev USSR



Konstantin E. Zinchenko USSR



.Ilya S. Tchernyshev USSR



Dragoslav Protich Yugoslavia



Anatoly F. Dobrynin USSR



Georgi P. Arkade



Eugeny D. Kiselev, USSR



Vladimir P. Suslov

Since its inception, the UN office of undersecretary-general for political and security council affairs—which coordinates all military, disarmament and atomic energy matters for the Security Council—has been held by an open Communist from a Communist country.

NOT CONGRESS is authorized to decide for us when, where, why and against whom WE go to war.

It is the failure of the Congress to make any effort to REVIEW THE CHARTER OF THE UN to UNDERSTAND OUR COMMIT-MENTS under that Charter and the thousands of treaties, agreements and resolutions of the UN, which has led Congress into a fullscale war in Vietnam. Only now does an element in Congress realize they are powerless to prevent a call-up of our Armed Forces for service in a UN Army (and the "Special Forces" SEATO Army in South Vietnam IS a UN Army as surely as was the UN Force in Korea). The STATE DEPARTMENT AD-MITS that the field command in Vietnam is complying with Article 54 of the UN Charter and "reports promptly and fully all major activities in South Vietnam" to the Security Council. This same Article requires that activities "IN CONTEMPLATION" must be reported also. So, in carrying out the provisions of the Article WE are informing the UN in advance of what we intend to do. (The Russian head of the Military Department of the UN should be able to use that type of information!) Please remember, the American Bar Association report that "WE" are legally engaged in the Vietnam War, not in accordance with the Constitution of the United States nor by the authority of the Tonkin Gulf Resolution, but in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations and the South East Asian Treaty Organization (SEATO).

An awakening Congress struggling weakly to its feet, surveys the disastrous wreckage evident on every hand. What can they do? Repeal the Tonkin Gulf Resolution with half a million men pinned down in Vietnam? Reveal their own incompetence in an election year? If they suddenly became statesmen and repealed the Resolution, what would happen? The Administration would ignore them. Under the UN law they really did not need the resolution. It was JUST A SCHEME TO INVOLVE CONGRESS IN THEIR CRIME as they have done many times before.

Remember too, Senator Fulbright who pushed the Resolution through Congress now says it was one of the most tragic mistakes of American History. What can Congress do now to stop the tragedy?

There is one thing they could do — in 3 days time or less! Review the UN Charter and examine its treaties, agreements and resolutions. THE MOST TRAGIC MISTAKE IN AMERICAN HISTORY WAS THE RATIFICATION OF THAT CHARTER.

One of the provisions of this fraudulent "charter" which actually, on the face of it, is a Constitution for a new government, is Article 109 setting forth that if the "charter" had not been reviewed and revised by the 10th year, the General Assembly would review and revise it in 1955. This was a TWO-PARTY TREATY; why was the U.S. Senate not required also to REVIEW and REVISE by the 10th year? Because the United States Senate, under this treaty, WOULD NOT EXIST IN 1955.

When the 10th year rolled around the General Assembly decided that if they attempted

to review and revise at this time they might LOSE THE WHOLE CHARTER as they certainly would have done in the face of a 1954 Senate Subcommittee Report that there was not enough of the 1945 Charter left to amend. It had been euchered out of business by the UN itself. Every revision is on the agenda of the General Assembly and each year it is postponed.

This was the most important (and fraudulent) "treaty" ever entered into by the United States. It was not ONE of the most tragic mistakes in American history; it was THE most tragic mistake of all time.

At a press conference, held subsequent to the Gruening-Katzenbach-Fulbright debate, in defense of his unlimited authority, the President asserted that the late Senator Robert A. Taft approved of sending troops to Korea but objected to the way President Truman had done it without the consent of Con-

The fact is that Mr. Taft, in his book, A Foreign Policy for Americans, reveals his vigorous opposition to the whole Korean war scheme. Senator Taft, we believe, has established very clearly where we parted company with the Constitution. Heed his remarks! "I voted for and supported the United Nations Charter in the Senate on July 28, 1945. I was never satisfied with the Charter and stated my criticism definitely at the time.

"It follows that, except as such policies may ultimately protect our own security, we have no primary interest as a national policy to improve conditions or material welfare in other parts of the world or to change other forms of government. Certainly we should not engage in war to achieve such purposes."

On page 32, Senator Taft quotes from a letter written by F. D. Roosevelt to Premier Reynaud: "... so long as the French people continue in defense of their liberty ... material and supplies will be sent to them from the United States. ... I know that you will understand that these statements carry with them NO IMPLICATION OF MILITARY COMMITMENTS. ONLY THE CONGRESS CAN MAKE SUCH COMMITMENTS."

President Roosevelt died before the United Nations Charter was approved at San Francisco. Then Harry S. Truman became President and, to settle a dispute at the San Francisco Convention, he wired from Potsdam: "When any such agreement or agreements are negotiated (to provide troops for a UN Army) it will be my purpose to ask the Congress for appropriate legislation to approve them."

At this point, before the UN Charter was approved, Congress still had the power to declare war. As Mr. Katzenbach so tersely stated it: "Until the United Nations Organization." As reported by Mr. Taft, the change in the law became apparent following the organization of the UN. He says:

"On January 11, (1951?) at a press interview, according to the Washington Post: 'Mr. Truman, whose right to send troops to Europe recently was challenged by Senator Robert A. Taft, said that he had the power to send them any place in the world. . .'

"At the President's Conference a week (Continued on Page 4)

# Christianity - Not Handouts -Hope of the World

By TOM ANDERSON

Some months ago our President offered the North Vietnamese a ceasefire and one billion dollars if they would form a coalition government and be good. (We have made such trades in Cuba, in China, in neutralist communist Laos and other lost wars too numerous to mention.) Evidently, the North Vietnamese and their Chinese and Russian allies are holding out for two billion.

And now President Johnson has embarked upon a buy-peace program in South Vietnam. He plans to pour so many of our goodiesnot his - into South Vietnam that a stable government will result. Then the North Vietnamese will look at their fed-and-fat brothers to the South and cry out for peace and foodand houses and roads and cars and movies and TV and the other goodies of the affluent society. As is par for the course, this theory ignores the fact that Communism has never, anywhere, risen from the starving masses, but has been conceived, born, fed and administered by rich, well-fed intellectuals, from the top down.

The unswerving goal of every Communist, high and low, Russian, Chinese, Yugoslav, Cuban or American, is the destruction and enslavement of the United States of America. And then the world. Therefore, there are no good Communists, except dead Communists. And well-fed Communists are not less dangerous but more dangerous — because they are stronger and more successful. Our goal should not be to feed Communists but to starve them; not to help them succeed, but to make them fail.

A well-fed world would be like the wolf in Little Red Riding Hood — a big mouth, the better to swallow you with, my dear!

"But in every communist country most of the people are not even Communists. We should not allow innocent people to go hungry," the idealists exclaim. True, it is the leaders and the members of the party who are the criminals, not the average little peasant. But the only way, short of war, to free that peasant is to make the regime which enslaved him fail. On the contrary we, the United States of America, have done more in the past 25 years to make Communism succeed than have all the non-communist nations of the world combined. Why? Treason is the

We've given untold billions to Chinese, Russian and other enemies. We've given nothing to our friends in Rhodesia and South Africa except the back of our hand and boycotts. All they want from us is our friendship.

The only way we'll ever have permanent peace is to surrender to the Comrats: the peace of the prison, the peace after the firing squad has fired, the peace of the mass grave -that's peace, Communist style.

The only true peace the world will ever know is the peace of Christianity. Christianity, not food - Christianity, not the United Nations - is the hope of the world, and the only hope. Naturally, I don't expect Lyndon Johnson, Hubert Humphrey, Dean Rusk, Averell Harriman, Bobby Kennedy, Walter Reuther and Martin Luther King to understand that. But there are many men in lead ership positions who do - like Senator Strom Thurmond, the Great American from South Carolina.

Charity is not charity unless it is VOLUN-TARY. In Luke 12:13-14 Jesus was talking to a large crowd when a man approached him saying: "Master, speak to my brother that he share his inheritance with me.'

And Jesus replied: "Man, who is it that would make me a divider among men?"

Total government planning (The Great Society) has no room for true Christian charity. The best way to reduce the exploitation of man by man is to embrace Christianity. Christianity, not handouts, is the hope of the

GET THE U.N. OUT OF THE U.S. AND THE U.S. OUT OF THE U.N. ABE FORTAS



LEO PASVOLSKY



Zionist "patriots" who drew up blueprint for U.N. charter, the most tragic "mistake" in American history.

## THE JEWISH PROBLEM

Since before the time of Christ the world and its leaders have been concerned with the problem of the "Jew."

Cicero, born 106 BC, referred to the "Jews" as a dark and repulsive force. Christ addressed the Pharisees (Jews) as vipers, and drove their money changers from the temple. Mohammed called them devourers of men. Erasmus wrote of their usury and oppression of the poor. Peter the Great of Russia called them crooks and swindlers. Voltaire described them as the greatest scoundrels who ever besmirched the earth. Napoleon spoke of them as the vilest nation in the world, and Maria Theresa of Austria said they commit all deeds which honorable men despise. Count Okuma of Japan stated that Jews all over the world destroy patriotism and healthy state institutions.

George Washington said they were the greatest enemies we have to the happiness of America. Benjamin Franklin warned that they would devour the land (U.S.) if not excluded. General Grant said the Jews had violated every regulation of trade established by the U.S. Treasury Department, and Henry Ford wrote that if the fifty most wealthy Jewish financiers who produce war for their own profit were kept under control wars would cease.

#### **Expulsions**

Down through the centuries the Jews have been run out of one country after another. Following is a partial list of countries which have expelled them in the past. From the accompanying dates it will be noted that some countries excluded them two or three times.

1290 England 1322 France 1306 France 1394 France

1349 Hungary

1350-1450 German Districts

1350-1450 Italian Districts

1350-1450 Balkan Districts 1420 Austria 1492 Spain

1453 Silesia 1492 Sicily

Sardinia 1492

1495 Lithuania

1497 Portugal

16th Century Lower Austria Lower Austria

17th Century

Vienna 1671 18th Century Louisiana

Most of Russia 1792

Most of Russia 1804

1814 Norway - Sweden

Now has any other race been denounced by so many world leaders, or been run out by so many different nations?

Can all these nations and great leaders be wrong? Bigoted, biased, prejudiced? Or is there something inherent in the Jewish character that makes them obnoxious and unacceptable to other people? Is the Jew the innocent, persecuted victim he always claims to be or has he by his own behaviour brought down upon himself the wrath of other races? Was it hate or righteous indignation that brought on pogroms in civilized nations? Was it prejudice or self defense that caused country after country to run them out?

Was George Washington mentally ill? Were Peter the Great and Henry Ford irresponsible? And should anti-defamation laws have been passed to muzzle Christ, Shakespeare, Benjamin Franklin, Goethe, and Martin Luther, as well as all the Popes who issued edicts to protect their followers from the

CAN THE WHOLE WORLD BE WRONG?

## THE FAKE VIETNAM "WAR"

If Christ were here in the flesh today, I believe He would say of these living hypocrites who are deceiving our people into fighting this fake "war" in Vietnam . . .

"You scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! You conscript our finest boys and men and force them into "involuntary servitude," 15,000 miles from home, to murder and be murdered by strangers, under pretense of fighting "Communism;" yet you yourselves are the leaders of the communists, with headquarters in New York, and have moved hell and earth to promote, establish and protect "communism" all over the world. You have originated communism and financed it from the beginning.

"You scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! You tax our citizens so heavily you have bankrupt our nation, under pretense of supplying our boys material to fight this "war," then you send them inadequate amounts of outmoded, inefficient munitions, and refuse to let them win. You refuse to allow them to bomb key military targets, such as Haiphong harbor, and whine you are afraid this might make Russia and China angry with us. You give our deadly enemies immense supplies of strategic war materiel our boys need desperately, which the enemy use to maim, torture, and murder our half-trained boys in the mud, swamps and disease-infested jungles of Vietnam. This is total treason!

You scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! While you force our finest boys and men to leave their parents, wives, children and sweethearts to go 15,000 miles to kill and be killed, under pretense of opposing "Communism," you actually protect the communists next door in Cuba, by patrolling their coasts so no one can attack them.

"You Pharisee hypocrites! You sponsored Castro and his cut-throat band of Communists, under pretense that they were Cuban patriots and installed them in power in Cuba. Without your aid it would have been impossible for Castro and his traitors to have taken over Cuba, where today they are busy setting up atomic missiles to destroy U.S. cities, with the aid of Russia.

"You scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! You pretended the communists in China were merely harmless "Agrarian Reformers," then deliberately by force put them in power in China. You now protect them from invasion by the Chinese army of patriots on Formosa, who would free their friends and relatives from Chinese Communist tyranny if you would let them. You patrol the coast of China to protect the communists, while you send our boys 15,000 miles from home to be slaughtered, to make the world safe for Communism. The total casualties of the U.S. in Vietnam is 253,917.

"You Pharisees, hypocrites, money-changers! From your banking headquarters in New York, you furnished the money that financed the 1917 Russian Revolution. You connived in the murder of the Christian Czar Nicholas and his family in cold blood, and in the murder by torture and starvation of over 50 million white Christian Russians,

"You scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! You are deliberately doing all you possibly can, to corrupt and destroy the bodies and souls of our Christian youths, and may God our Father, punish you as you deserve!"

COMMUNISM is TREASON!

### THE INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT

By ALTON THATCHER

There are two governments in the United States today. One is visible. The other is invisible.

The first is the government that citizens read about in newspapers and school children study about in civic books.

The second is the interlocking, hidden machinery that carries out, after it has planned, the domestic and foreign policies of the United States. Decisions in peace and war are handled by a small directorate, the name of which is only whispered. The name of this group, even its existence, is unknown outside the innermost circle of the invisible government, the arm of the international bankers and their pet project the United Nations.

The invisible government established and consolidated its authority during FDR's Administration. Today it is in full command of the federal executive branch, Congress, and the Supreme Court. It also controls the CIA, the National Security Council, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, Army-Navy-Air-Force Intelligence, the State Department's bureau of Intelligence, the Atomic Energy Commission, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and our public school educational system.

The invisible government controls every phase of our national government, America's foreign policy, and the valuation and devaluation of the dollar as set forth by the International bankers, who control the English pound and the French francs.

It is the process by which they are shaping the lives of two-hundred million Americans. These decisions are made outside the American public view.

For an illustration of how the dictatorship of the invisible government works in violation of the Constitution of the United States, listen to the following:

On November 23, 1963, during his first full day in office, LBJ was taken by McGeorge Bundy who had been President Kennedy's personal link with the invisible government to the Situation room, a restricted command post deep in the White House basement. There the new President, surrounded by top secret maps, electronic equipment and communications, received instructions from John McCone.

There is no end to the illegal invisible government which functions over our federal government. And there is no end to the extreme political, economic, and racial upheaval in the United States.

We fight a savage war in Vietnam, a war which our hand-tied government will not seek to win. It has cost America over 15,000 lives and over 86,000 wounded on the battlefields. The war could have been ended long ago, and still could by adequate use of power which this country has. But it continues with no design for victory, as we continue to fight under the influence of the invisible government and the United Nations RATHER THAN OUR OWN MILITARY GENERALSHIP.

Besides, we are in the midst of a crime wave in the United States. It is unequalled in our history. Murder, rape, assault, grand theft, even bank robbery are common, and the criminal cesspool continues to rise. The courts, egged on by misnamed sociologists, not only fail to crack down on crime but knock down barriers to make it easier for the criminal.

Schools are closed for fear of racial violence. Black power activists betray their own people into riots, plunder, and murder. And all the while our SEDUCED government stands by, consenting.

College students make demands for peace, often demonstrating for the North Vietnamese, not for the sake of our own soldiers,

Let's do all in our power to make the diabolical character of the Invisible Government better known and hated.

#### TREASON

"Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying war against them, or in adhering to their enemies, giving aid and comfort."—Article III, Section 3, Constitution of the United States.

## CONSCRIPTION

"Where in the Constitution is it written, in what article or section is it contained, that you may take children from their parents, and parents from their children, and compel them to fight the battle of any war in which the folly and wickedness of the government may engage itself? Who will show me any constitutional injunction which makes it the duty of the American people to surrender every thing valuable in life, and even life itself, whenever the purposes of any ambitious and mischievous government may require it? A free government with an uncontrolled power of military conscription, is the most ridiculous and abominable contradiction and nonsense that ever entered the heads of men." (Daniel Webster — January 14, 1816, House of Representatives).

The 13th Amendment of the Constitution states: "Neither slavery, nor involuntary servitude, except as punishment for crime, where the party have been duly convicted, shall exist in the United States."

To conscript our finest boys and men and force them to go on the other side of the world to kill or be killed by total strangers in the name of defending the U.S.A., is "slavery, and involuntary servitude" of the worst kind. Conscription is absolutely unconstitutional.

If one wants to defend his home and loved ones, he should stay here at home and repel any invader, instead of going 15,000 miles away to kill or be killed by a total stranger.

Our real enemy and traitors are here at home within our own country.

The Constitution — that grand old document that so many liars have sworn to uphold!

#### AN OPEN LETTER

(Continued from Page 2)

later... according to the press: 'He repeated that his Constitutional authority did not depend upon the consent of Congress...'

"Furthermore, a document was submitted to Congress entitled 'Powers of the President to Send Armed Forces Outside the United States'... This document contains the most unbridled claims for the authority of the President that I have ever seen in cold print. In effect, the document asserts that whenever, in his opinion, American foreign policy requires, he may send troops to any part whatsoever in the world. No matter what the war is ... that may involve us."

The absolute authority of the United Nations to call upon the President to "make available to the Security Council ON ITS CALL, armed forces, assistance and facilities has been understood and recognized by every president from Truman to Johnson - yet Congress knew nothing about it! They have watched the United States forces dragooned into undeclared wars in Korea and Vietnam under laws of which they are completely ignorant. Now, as they strive frantically to get our young men out of Vietnam they are blandly and insolently informed by the President and over-educated upstarts from Defense and State that the President has full authority to send them any place on earth and to pin them down for ten to fifty years

It has been demonstrated in the recent dispatch of transport planes and crews to the Congo, that a vocal and outraged Congress can take the starch out of the Administration master-minds. The President found it mighty expedient to call home the transport planes and their crews. After all, Congress still believes it has the power of impeachment, and might try to use it.

Then, there were the Treaties entered into by the President and his counter-part in Panama to give away the Panama Canal. The newly-awakened Congress backed the Administration down on that. And, if Congress obtains the facts on the agreement made by the late J.F.K. with Khrushchev as reported in considerable detail in the President's Report to the Congress on U.S. Participation in the UN (1962, p. 116) in which J. F. K. guaranteed that there would be NO INVASION OF CUBA BY ANYBODY, INCLUDING THE CUBANS IN EXILE, Congress could con-

ceivably generate enough righteous indignation to blow that infamous agreement skyhigh. The Russians never complied with it—they have far more military hardware in Cuba NOW than they had then. It is in CUBA and not Vietnam that OUR national security is threatened.

Congress is in trouble, serious trouble, and needs the help and support of all State Governments and of the People. They have learned enough in the last six months to have kept our armed forces out of a UN African war and, it may well be, that because we will not permit our armed forces to be sent to Africa, the Administration will cooperate in closing out the Vietnam war.

But, whatever the accomplishments now, nothing will be settled on a permanent basis until the United States Senate and the 50 State Legislatures review the UN Charter and inspect the treaties and resolutions. Unless we know where we were we will not know where we are nor how to chart a sensible course to where we are going.

MARY M. DAVISON Council for Statehood, P.O. Box 1131, North Miami, Florida.

Freedom Isn't Free, Free men aren't Equal, Equal men aren't Free!

# Let's keep it that way

# READ and BE INFORMED

STATE DEPARTMENT: BLUNDER OR TREASON? by Bryton Barron.

Exposes part the State Department played in the shocking events discussed in this issue. \_\_\_\_\$2.50

#### FROM MAJOR JORDAN'S DIARIES,

By George Racey Jordan.

Shocking documented account of eyewitness Soviet theft and subverversion during World War II with full approval of U.S. officials. \_\_\_\_\$2.00

#### ROOSEVELT'S ROAD TO RUSSIA,

By George N. Crocker.

Exposes the treason of F.D.R. and those who worked with him. \_\_\_\_\$2.00

#### SECRET GOVERNMENT OF

THE U.S., By Mary M. Davison.

A revealing examination of the

"Council on Foreign Relations," the invisible government which controls both the U.S. and U.N. \_\_\_\_\_\$1.00

#### FEARFUL MASTER,

By G. Edward Griffin.

One of the most carefully documented, hard-hitting books ever written on the United Nations. \_\_\_\_\_\$1.00

VICTORY DENIED,

By Arch Roberts.

Tells why your sons must fight in "no-win" wars and exactly what you must do to stop this diabolical trend.

75¢

# Common Sense.

UNION, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A.

	U.S. CANADA	CANADA FOREIGN
	1ST CLASS	2ND CLASS
1 year	\$5.00	\$3.00
2 years	8.00	5:00
3 years	10.00	7.00
Litetime	_	50.00
E	The same of the sa	

Foreign 1st Class — \$10.00 per year BULK COPIES — SAME or ASSORTED

20 copies \_\_\_\_\_\_\$1.00 100 copies \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\$15.00 1000 copies \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\$20.00

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN. 530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J. 07083